1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 EVOLUTION MALTA LIMITED, et al., 6 Case No. 2:24-cv-00993-CDS-NJK Plaintiffs, 7 **Order** v. 8 [Docket No. 121] LIGHT & WONDER, INC., et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulation to stay discovery. Docket No. 121. 12 The Court has broad discretionary power to control discovery. See, e.g., Little v. City of Seattle, 863 F.2d 681, 685 (9th Cir. 1988). The party seeking a stay of discovery bears the heavy burden of making a strong showing that discovery should be denied. Turner Broad. Sys., Inc. v. Tracinda Corp., 175 F.R.D. 554, 556 (D. Nev. 1997). Discovery may be stayed when: (1) there is a pending motion that is potentially dispositive in scope and effect; (2) the potentially dispositive motion can be decided without additional discovery; and (3) the Court has taken a "preliminary peek" at the merits of the underlying motion and is convinced that Plaintiff will be unable to state 19 a claim for relief. Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). 20 The stipulation fails to address the governing standards. See Docket No. 121. Accordingly, the parties' stipulation to stay discovery is **DENIED** without prejudice. Docket No. 121. 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 Dated: June 25, 2025 24 Nancy \(\) Koppe 25 United States Magistrate Judge 26 27 28